Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Questions about MPMP r	esults shou	ıld be addr	essed to	:			
		Name Linda Cheyne Title Manager of Finance Municipality Corporation of The T E-mail lcheyne@get.on.ca	ownship of Gue	llph/Eramosa	Phone	519-856-9596 ext 111			
		Related documents and li	inks						
	FIR Reference	Local Government	2006	2005					
1.1	91 0204 13	Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	20.7	20.5					
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RE	SULTS					
		CONTACT PERSON See Above							
		Formulas for all efficiency measures were of	changed in 2005 to	improve the defin	ition of operatin	g costs.			
		Fire Services	2006	2005					
2.1	91 1102 13	Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$0.30	\$0.30					
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS							
		CONTACT PERSON See Above							
		Formulas for all efficiency measures were of	changed in 2005 to	improve the defin	ition of operatin	ng costs.			

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Police Services	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
3.1	91 1203 13	Operating costs for police services per person.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
3.2	92 1258 07	Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.	X.X	x.x	X.X	X.X	X.X
3.3	92 1259 07	Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.	X.X	x.x	X.X	X.X	X.X
3.4	92 1263 07	Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code Offences, excluding traffic).	х	x	х	x	х
3.5	92 1265 07	Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.*	x.x	x.x			

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Services provided by Upper Tier.

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

*The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions.

		Roads	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
4.1	91 2107 13	Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$238.94	\$269.17			
4.2	91 2108 13	Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$1,651.71	\$2,239.14			
4.3	91 2204 13	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$485.79	\$789.85			
4.4	92 2152 07	Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.	79.0%	81.4%	78.3%	78.23%	25.94%
4.5	92 2251 07	Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

CONTACT PERSON
See Above

Township of Guelph/Eramosa
Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Conventional Transit	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
5.1	91 2302 13	Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
5.2	92 2351 07	Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.	x.x	X.X	x.x	x.x	x.x

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Not Applicable

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

		Wastewater (Sewage)	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
6.1	91 3110 13	Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$3,021.66				
6.2	91 3108 13	Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$359.09	\$610.69			
6.3	91 3109 13	Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).	\$534.73	\$938.45			
6.4	92 3154 07	Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.38	0.00
6.5	92 3155 07	Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of wastewater main was redefined in the collection measure; connections were eliminated.

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Storm Water	2006	
7.1	91 3207 13	Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, (disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$x.xx	
7.2	91 3208 13	Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$x.xx	

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Not Applicable.

CONTACT PERSON

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained. Measures are reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

		Drinking Water	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
8.1	91 3307 13	Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$840.76	\$852.11			
8.2	91 3310 13	Operating costs for the distribution of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$1,319.14				
8.3	91 3309 13	Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre (Integrated System).	\$982.65	\$1,010.10			
8.4	92 3355 07	Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
8.5	92 3356 07	Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.4054	0.00

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

CONTACT PERSON See Above

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of distribution pipe was redefined in the distribution measure; connections and hydrants were eliminated.

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Solid Waste Management (Garbage)	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
9.1	91 3403 13	Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
9.2	91 3503 13	Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
9.3	91 3604 13	Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
9.4	91 3605 13	Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
9.5	92 3452 07	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	x.x	x.x	x.x	x.x	x.x
9.6	92 3552 07	Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.	x	х	х	x	X

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

		Facility Name	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004	Days 2003	Days 2002
	3553						
9.7	3554						
9.7	3555						
	3556						
	3557						
	3558						
	3559						
	3560	Please delete un-used rows					

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2006 FIR.

			2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
9.8	92 3655 07	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%
9.9	92 3656 07	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).	х%	x%	x%	x%	x%

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Not Applicable – Services Provided by Upper Tier.

CONTACT PERSON

Sch. 92

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2006 RESULTS

		Parks and Recreation	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
10.1	91 7102 13	Operating costs for parks per person.	\$33.26	\$32.81			
10.2	91 7202 13	Operating costs for recreation programs per person.	N/A	\$1.87			
10.3	91 7303 13	Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$10.15	\$9.84			
10.4	91 7304 13	Operating costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$43.41	\$44.53			
10.6	92 7152 05	Total kilometres of trails.	3	3	3		
10.6	91 7152 07	Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.	.268	.271	.276		
10.7	92 7155 05	Hectares of open space (municipally owned)*	48				
10.7	92 7155 07	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned)*	4.295				
10.5	92 7255 07	Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	3377.02	3410.87			
10.8	92 7356 05	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned)**	620				
10.8	92 7356 07	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned)**	55.471				
10.9	92 7359 05	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned)**	1899				
10.9	92 7359 07	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 (municipally owned)**	169.90				

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

CONTACT PERSON

See Above

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

^{*}The numerator for the open space measure was redefined in 2006 as hectares of municipally owned open space.

^{**} The measure for recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program ● 2006 RESULTS

		Libraries	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
11.1	91 7403 13	Operating costs for library services per person.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
11.2	91 7404 13	Operating costs for library services per use.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
11.3	92 7460 07	Library uses per person.	X.X	X.X	x.x		
11.4	91 7461 07	Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	x%	x%	x%		
11.5	92 7462 07	Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	x%	x%	x%		

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Reported by Upper Tier

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

	Land Use Planning	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
12.1 92 81	Percentage of new lots, blocks and/or units with final approval which are located within settlement areas.	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%
12.2 92 81	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	х%	х%	x%	х%	x%
12.3 92 81	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.	х%	х%	x%	х%	x%
12.4 92 81	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	х	x	x	x	х
12.5 9281	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	X	х	X	X	х

Reported by Upper Tier

CONTACT PERSON