		Questions ab	out MPMP re	esults sho	uld be addı	essed to	, •
		Name Linc	la Cheyne			Phone	519-856-9596 ext. 111
			nager of Finance				
			poration of The To eyne@get.on.ca	wnship of Gu	elph/Eramosa		
	THE PARTY OF THE P	Related docu	ıments and li	nks	THE RESERVE THE WORLD PROPERTY.		
							The state of the s
	FIR Reference	Local Govern	ıment	2007			
1.1	91 0205 13	Operating costs for go corporate managemer of total municipal oper	vernance and nt as a percentage	16.5%			
		NOTES & KEY FAC	TORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING RE	ESULTS		
		CONTACT PERSON	V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		annunggi () () () () () () () () () (
		The formula for the loc efficiency measures.	al government measu	re was changed	n 2007 to align it v	vith the formula	for operating costs used by other
		Fire Services		2007	2006	2005	
2.1	91 1102 13	Operating costs for fire \$1,000 of assessment		\$0.31	\$0.30	\$0.30	
		NOTES & KEY FAC	TORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS		Vice to the second seco
		CONTACT PERSON	J		·		
·····		Formulas for all efficier	ncy measures were ch	anged in 2005 to	improve the defin	ition of operatin	g costs.

		Police Services	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
3.1	91 1203 13	Operating costs for police services per person.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
3.2	92 1258 07	Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X
3.3	92 1259 07	Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X
3.4	92 1263 07	Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic).	x	×	Х	X	x
3.5	92 1265 07	Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.	X.X	X.X	X.X		
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RI	ESULTS			
		Services provided by Upper Tier	Ĉ				
		CONTACT PERSON					, , chair chandala a k
		Formulas for all efficiency measures were		improve the defin	nition of operating o	osts.	Character Con Assume
		The formula for youth crime was changed i cautions.	n 2005 to include y	youths who receiv	e pre-charge resolu	itions such as wa	rnings and
		Roads	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
.1	91 2107 13	Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$193.96	\$238.94	\$269.17		
4.2	91 2108 13	Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$1,924.10	\$1,651.71	\$2,239.14		
4.3	91 2204 13	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$865.98	\$485.79	\$789.85		
4.4	92 2152 07	Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.	80.7%	79.0%	81.4%	78.3%	78.2%
4.5	92 2251 07	Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING R	ESULTS			

		Conventional Transit	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
5.1	91 2302 13	Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
5.2	92 2351 07	Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.	x.x	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RI	ESULTS			
		Not Applicable for the Township	of Guelph/E	ramosa			
		CONTACT PERSON					
		Formulas for all efficiency measures were c	hanged in 2005 to	improve the defin	ition of operating	costs.	
		Wastewater (Sewage)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
3.1	91 3110 13	Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$3,098.31	\$3,021.66			
6.2	91 3108 13	Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$1,323.24	\$359.09	\$610.69		
3.3	91 3109 13	Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).	\$1,624.62	\$534.73	\$938.45		
5.4	92 3154 07	Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a	3.1250	0	0	0	9.38
		year.					

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the denominator in the efficiency measure for wastewater collection was redefined to eliminate connections.

7.1		Storm Water	2007	2006			
	91 3207 13	Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
7.2	91 3208 13	Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR U	NDERSTANDI	NG RESULTS			
		Not Applicable for the Township of	Guelph/Eramos	sa in 2007			
		CONTACT PERSON	D. C. CAMARANTO NATA A A CAT				
		In 2006, the denominators of both storm was Measures are reported by municipalities with Drinking Water			ninate connections;	catch basins we	ere retained.
3.1	91 3307 13	Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$1,002.87	\$840.76	\$852.11		2000
3.2	91 3310 13	Operating costs for the distribution of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$2,653.62	\$1,319.14			
3.3	91 3309 13	Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre (Integrated System).	\$1,269.67	\$982.65	\$1,010.10		
3.4	92 3355 07	Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0	0	0	0	0
3.5	92 3356 07	Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4054
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RI	SULTS			The second secon

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the denominator of the efficiency measure for drinking water distribution was redefined, eliminating connections and hydrants.

		Solid Waste Management (Garbage)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
9.1	91 3403 13	Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
9.2	91 3503 13	Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
9.3	91 3604 13	Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
9.4	91 3605 13	Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
9.5	92 3452 07	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	x.x	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X
9.6	92 3552 07	Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.	X	X	X	X	x

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

	3553
0.7	3554
9.7	3555
	3556
	3557
	3558
	3559
	3560

Sch. 92

Facility Name	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004	Days 2003
Please delete un-used rows				***************************************	

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2007 FIR.

			2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
9.8	92 3655 07	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%
9.9	92 3656 07	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

Services provided by the Upper Tier

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2007 RESULTS

		Parks and Recreation	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
10.1	91 7102 13	Operating costs for parks per person.	\$34.92	\$33.26	\$32.81		
10.2	91 7202 13	Operating costs for recreation programs per person.	N/A	N/A	\$1.87		
10.3	91 7303 13	Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$9.93	\$10.15	\$9.84		
10.4	91 7305 13	Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$9.93				
10.5	92 7152 05	Total kilometres of trails.	3	3	3	3	
10.5	91 7152 07	Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.	0.249	0.268	0.271	0.276	
10.6	92 7155 05	Hectares of open space (municipally owned).	48	48			
10.6	92 7155 07	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	3.978	4.295			
10.7	92 7255 07	Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	4384.22	3377.020	3410.87		
10.8	92 7356 05	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	0	620			
10.8	92 7356 07	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	0.0	55.471			
10.9	92 7359 05	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).		1899			
10.9	92 7359 07	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).		169.90			

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

CONTACT PERSON

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

The numerator for the open space measure was redefined in 2006 as hectares of municipally owned open space.

In 2006, the measure for recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facilities. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

		Libraries	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
11.1	91 7403 13	Operating costs for library services per person.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
1.2	91 7404 13	Operating costs for library services per use.	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
1.3	92 7460 07	Library uses per person.	X.X	X.X	X.X	X.X	
1.4	91 7461 07	Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	x%	x%	x%	x%	
1.5	92 7462 07	Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	x%	x%	x%	x%	
		NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING	RESULTS	4 . 1	at receive 1, advantable 1,	
		Library services provided by Up	per Tier				
		CONTACT PERSON					
		Formulas for all efficiency measures were	changed in 2005	5 to improve the d	efinition of operation	ng costs.	
		Land Use Planning	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
2.1	92 8170 07	Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.	x%				
	00 0100 00	Persontage of land decignated for					
2.2	92 8163 07	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%
	92 8163 07	agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the	x% x%	x% x%	x% x%	x% x%	x% x%
2.3		agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to					
2.2	92 8164 07	agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000. Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other	x%	x% x	x% x	x%	x%
2.3 2.4	92 8164 07 92 8165 07	agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000. Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other	x% x	x% x	x% x	x% ×	x% x
2.3 2.4	92 8164 07 92 8165 07	agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000. Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year. Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	x% x	x% x	x% x	x% ×	x% x